Model Diagnostics

Prof. Lauren Perry

Potential Problems

- 1. Non-linearity of the response-predictor relationships.
- 2. Correlation of error terms.
- 3. Non-constant variance of error terms.
- 4. Outliers and high-leverage points.
- 5. Collinearity.

1. Non-linearity of the response-predictor relationships.

- ▶ We can examine non-linearity using *residual plots*.
- Ideally, these will show no discernible pattern (random scatter).
- ▶ We can work on fixing this problem by transforming the predictors:

Ex: $\log X$, $\sqrt{(X)}$, X^2 , etc.

Example Residual Plots Showing Non-Linearity



Assumption: error terms $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_n$ are uncorrelated.

- That is, knowing something about ϵ_i , doesn't tell us anything about ϵ_{i+1} .
- Our standard error calculations rely on this.
 - Violations tend to result in std error being underestimated.
 - This causes erroneously narrow confidence/prediction intervals.
- > These correlations can occur for data that is *time dependent*.
 - We should use different modeling techniques for this type of data.

3. Non-constant variance of error terms.

Assumption: error terms have constant variance, $Var(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2$.

- We can check for homoscedasticity using residual plots.
- There should be no discernible pattern in the variability.
- Standard errors rely on this assumption.
- ▶ This assumption is often violated, but we can usually fix (or at least improve) it!
- ▶ We work on fixing this problem by transforming the outcome variable:
 - Ex: log Y, $\sqrt{(Y)}$, Y², etc.

Example Residual Plot - Before and After log(Y) Transformation



4. Outliers and High-Leverage Points

An *outlier* is a point for which y_i is far from the value predicted by the model.

If we think the outlier resulted from an error in data collection, we can remove it.
 ... but there is nothing inherently wrong with outliers.

From a model fitting perspective, we are much more interested in *high-leverage points*.

- These are observations which have a significant individual impact on the regression line.
 - We can examine this by removing a point from the data and refitting the model, and then examining how much the regression line changed.



Leverage Im(mpg ~ horsepower)

Standardized residuals

6. Collinearity

Collinearity is the situation in which one or more predictor variables are closely related to one another.



When two variables are *collinear*:

- ▶ It can be difficult to separate out their individual effects on the response.
- ▶ The accuracy of regression coefficient estimates is decreased.
- Standard error is increased, which shrinks the test statistics (toward 0).
 - This results in larger p-values and potentially a failure to reject H_0 .

Dealing with Collinearity

• Examine the correlation matrix for the predictors.

•	mpg	cyl	disp	hp	wt	acc	yr	orgn
mpg	1.00	-0.78	-0.81	-0.78	-0.83	0.42	0.58	0.57
cyl	-0.78	1.00	0.95	0.84	0.90	-0.50	-0.35	-0.57
disp	-0.81	0.95	1.00	0.90	0.93	-0.54	-0.37	-0.61
hp	-0.78	0.84	0.90	1.00	0.86	-0.69	-0.42	-0.46
wt	-0.83	0.90	0.93	0.86	1.00	-0.42	-0.31	-0.59
acc	0.42	-0.50	-0.54	-0.69	-0.42	1.00	0.29	0.21
yr	0.58	-0.35	-0.37	-0.42	-0.31	0.29	1.00	0.18
orgn	0.57	-0.57	-0.61	-0.46	-0.59	0.21	0.18	1.00

Sometimes, we can run into collinearity between three or more variables that will not appear in the two-way correlations shown in the correlation matrix.

- To examine possible multicollinearity, we compute the variance inflation factor (VIF).
 - This is the ratio of (variance of β̂_j when fitting the full model) to (the variance of β̂_j if fit on its own).
 - The minimum value for VIF is 1.
 - There are different ideas for what constitutes a "high" VIF, but people often use 5 or 10.

cylinders	displacement	horsepower	
10.737535	21.836792	9.943693	
weight	acceleration	year	origin
10.831260	2.625806	1.244952	1.772386

► Now what?

Let's try removing the variable with the highest VIF:

cylinders	horsepower	weight
6.008253	9.088413	9.219674
acceleration	year	origin
2.598356	1.239409	1.594220

- Notice that removing displacement also slightly improved the VIF for all the other variables!
- ▶ At this point, we can stop (if we're using 10) or try removing another variable.

Let's try removing one more variable (displacement):

 ##
 cylinders
 horsepower acceleration
 year
 origin

 ##
 4.155143
 5.323311
 1.996560
 1.209909
 1.495100

That made a big difference!

The Final Model

Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept)	-7.87876	5.05154	-1.560	0.12	
cylinders	-1.22202	0.22524	-5.425	1.02e-07	***
horsepower	-0.08815	0.01130	-7.802	5.75e-14	***
acceleration	-0.40305	0.09654	-4.175	3.69e-05	***
year	0.66601	0.05628	11.833	< 2e-16	***
origin	1.82772	0.28612	6.388	4.84e-10	***

Residual standard error: 3.727 on 386 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.7749, Adjusted R-squared: 0.772 F-statistic: 265.7 on 5 and 386 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16