Dr. Lauren Perry

Why not just use logistic regression?

- If there is a lot of separation between the classes, logistic regression models are surprisingly unstable.
	- \triangleright (Coefficient estimates can vary significantly given the same data generating process.)
- If the distribution of the predictors X is approx. normal and the sample size is small, these alternatives may be more accurate than logistic regression.
- \triangleright The methods in this section have more natural extensions to three or more classes.

 \triangleright Model the distribution of the predictors X separately for each response class. I Use Bayes' theorem to work these into estimates for $P(Y = k | X = x)$.

The Setup

Suppose Y can take on K distinct, unordered values.

 \blacktriangleright Let π_k represent the overall probability that a randomly chosen observation comes from the kth class.

Generally estimated as the proportion of training observations belonging to class k .

In Let $f_k(x) = P(X|Y = k)$ denote the density function of X for an observation from the kth class.

- \triangleright So $f_k(x)$ should be relatively large if there is a high probability that an observation from the kth class has $X \approx x$.
- \blacktriangleright Then Bayes' Theorem states

$$
p_k(x) = P(Y = k | X = x) = \frac{\pi_k f_k(x)}{\sum_{l=1}^{K} \pi_l f_l(x)}
$$

 \triangleright This is the *posterior probability* that an observation belongs to the kth class, given $X = x$

Goal: estimate $f_k(x)$ to approximate the Bayes' classifier $p_k(x)$.

We will classify an observation into the category for which $p_k(x) = P(Y = k | X = x)$ is greatest.

Assume $f_k(x)$ is normally distributed (Gaussian):

$$
f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_k^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu_k)^2}{2\sigma_k^2}\right]
$$

where μ_k and σ_k^2 are the mean and standard deviation parameters for the *k*th class. Also assume $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \cdots = \sigma_K^2 = \sigma^2$ (shared variance term for all classes).

Combining the Bayes' Theorem set up with these assumptions, we get

$$
p_k(x) = \frac{\frac{\pi_k}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu_k)^2\right]}{\sum_{l=1}^K \frac{\pi_l}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu_l)^2\right]}
$$

which looks a mess, but it can be shown this is equivalent to assigning the observation to the class for which \sim

$$
\delta_k(x) = x \left(\frac{\mu_k}{\sigma^2} \right) - \frac{\mu_k^2}{2\sigma^2} + \log(\pi_k)
$$

is largest.

$$
\delta_k(x) = x \left(\frac{\mu_k}{\sigma^2}\right) - \frac{\mu_k^2}{2\sigma^2} + \log(\pi_k)
$$

If $K = 2$ and $\pi_1 = \pi_2$, this classifier assigns an observation to

$$
\bullet \ \ \text{class 1 if } 2x(\mu_1 - \mu_2) > \mu_1 - \mu_2.
$$
\n
$$
\bullet \ \ \text{class 2 otherwise.}
$$

The Bayes' decision boundary is the point for which $\delta_1 = \delta_2$, which in this setting is

$$
x = \frac{\mu_1^2 - \mu_2^2}{2(\mu_1 - \mu_2)} = \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{2}
$$

Example

- \triangleright Consider predictors generated from two normal distributions where $\mu_1 = -1.25$, $μ_2 = 1.25$, and $σ_1 = σ_2 = 1$.
- \triangleright Assume an observation is equally likely to come from either class, i.e., $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = 0.5$.
- ▶ Then the (known) Bayes' classifier assigns an observation to class 1 if $x < 0$ and class 2 otherwise.

Example

- \triangleright 20 observations drawn from each class.
- \blacktriangleright LDA decision boundary shown as solid vertical line.

In practice, we must estimate $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_K, \pi_1, \ldots, \pi_K$, and σ .

$$
\hat{\mu}_k = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i: y_i = k} x_i
$$

$$
\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n - K} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i: y_i = k} (x_i - \hat{\mu}_k)^2
$$

$$
\hat{\pi}_k = \frac{n_k}{n}
$$

Where *n* is the number of training observations and n_k is the number of training observations in the kth class.

 $\rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2$ is a weighted average of sample variances across the K classes.

Assign an observation $X = x$ to the class for which

$$
\delta_k(x) = x \left(\frac{\hat{\mu}_k}{\hat{\sigma}^2}\right) - \frac{\hat{\mu}_k^2}{2\hat{\sigma}^2} + \log(\hat{\pi}_k)
$$

is largest.

Example: Using Penguin Body Mass to Predict Species

```
data(penguins, package = "palmerpenguins")
mod1 <- lda(species ~ body_mass_g, penguins)
predval <- predict(mod1)$class
species <- penguins$species[!is.na(penguins$species) & !is.na(penguins$body_mass_g)]
table(predval, species)
```


mean(predval **==** species)

[1] 0.7280702